Collecting Soil Microorganisms: How Sampling Methodology Influences Diversity and Community Composition Frances Janz, Lee Stanish, Terrestrial Observation System (TOS)

Introduction



Studying the diversity and composition of microorganisms is integral to understanding biogeochemical cycles because microbes impact the cycles in numerous, complex ways via the uptake and release of nutrients. As part of its observation of these interactions, NEON conducts metagenomic analyses to determine taxonomic and functional diversity of the microbial communities found in soils. **Objective**

The goal of this study was to determine whether changing the spatial scale of NEON's sampling methodology would affect the diversity and community composition of the collected microbial samples.





Discussion

Results

- α diversity metrics for the taxonomic data showed no significant differences between sampling at the plot scale (composite samples) and the subplot scale (individual samples), see Fig. 1.
- Spatial scale did not significantly affect Shannon diversity or evenness for the functional data (ANOVA, p = 0.887 and 0.661 respectively).
- Sampling effects on β diversity were tested via ordinations on each data set using nonmetric multidimensional scaling (NMDS). Plots are shown for the functional data in Fig. 2 and 3.
- There were no significant differences in taxonomic or functional composition after controlling for the effects of site on the samples (PERMANOVA, taxonomic data: p = 0.377, functional data: p = 0.437).

Conclusion

Our results show that a change in the spatial scale of microbial sampling will not affect the diversity or community composition of collected samples. Microbiologists can confidently use compositing as it provides samples that are as representative of the microbial populations as individual (subplotscale) samples.

NEON is a project sponsored by the National Science Foundation and operated under cooperative agreement by Battelle.

Methods

Data

• Field technicians collected 3 soil cores per plot within a site per sampling event (image on left)

Before metagenomic processing (image on right), 1 of the 3 cores for each plot was randomly chosen for individual samples while all 3 cores were combined for the composite samples

Analysis Metagenomic datasets were retrieved from the MG-RAST repository using API calls run in the Python programming language

Statistics were completed using the "vegan" package for the R programming language







Function Key

- Branched-chain amino 13. Monosaccharides acids
- 2. Capsular and extracellular polysaccharides
- 3. Central carbohydrate metabolism
- 4. CO_2 fixation
- 5. Di- and
- oligosaccharides
- 6. DNA repair
- 7. DNA replication
- 8. Electron donating reactions
- 9. Fatty acids
- 10. Fermentation
- 11. Folate and pterines
- 12. Lysine, threonine, methionine, and cysteine

- 14. One-carbon
- metabolism 15. Peripheral pathways for catabolism of
- aromatic compounds 16. Plant-Prokaryote DOE
- project 17. Protein biosynthesis
- 18. Purines
- 19. Resistance to antibiotics and toxic compounds
- 20. RNA processing and modification

Figure 2 (top) shows the top 20 functional groups influencing the ordination. The shaded shapes indicate the 6 collection sites.

Figure 3 (bottom) shows functional gene composition between individual and composite samples at each site.

