# Quantifying impacts of atmospheric and physical parameters on pyranometer calibration

Author: Justo Tarula (Junior, University of California, Riverside)

### Background

**Solar radiation** is energy received from the sun in the form of near infrared radiation, visible light and a small amount of ultra-violet radiation. Solar radiation is an integral part of the surface energy budget and is the driving force behind biological and geophysical processes in our environment. Solar radiation data is used in climate modeling (GCM) and development of renewable energy technologies. Solar radiation can be measured by its components:

- Global is a measure of total horizontal radiation, measured using a pyranometer
- Direct does not get absorbed or scattered; measured using a pyrheliometer Diffuse – received after its direction has been changed by reflection and scattering; measured using a shaded pyranometer (excludes direct radiation)



### Calibration



### Study Objectives

Scattering in the Atmosphere

Diffuse

Radiation

- Chose global short-wave radiation as a proxy Most radiation measurements by NEON are
- done by pyranometers Can likely translate to long-wave radiation
- Variables Studied:
- Humidity
- Particle Matter 2.5 ( $PM_{2.5}$ )
- Sensor Leveling
- Visibility
- Zenith Angle

**Uncertainty:** NEON assessed uncertainty from reproducibility to be 0.613%

- The parameters under investigation affect the reproducibility of calibration. • This Provides insight for ways to decrease uncertainty.

Contact Information: jtaru001@ucr.edu

Diffuse and

Direct Radiation



Mentor: Janae Csavina

Figure 1. Graph depicting the components of global horizontal radiation

#### Value from sensor undergoing calibration is compared to "true" value measured by reference

Pyrheliometers are more accurate than pyranometers The diffuse component of short-wave radiation makes up a much smaller percentage

By measuring components independently, a lower degree translated into the reference measurements (ISO, 1993).





Figure 5 a-d. The effect of improper leveling of the sensors is seen best through histograms (A & B). It is clear that under level conditions (visual in C) the calibration factor follows a normal distribution (A). On the other hand, if not leveled properly (visual in D), it often follows more of a bimodal distribution (B). Unleveled sensors can be determined by data through this method for both the calibration and data acquired from the field.



Zenith angles were taken at 20 second resolution from clear sky data. Below is an example of one day (Figure 3A). Daily averages were computed for 11 days for VSI, PM2.5 and Humidity from CDPHE (2015) local area data and compared to calibration factor resulting from the clear sky portion of the day (Figure 3 B, C, and D, respectively).



## Results

Pearson correlation coefficient, uncertainty and linear relationships were examined to determine impact to pyranometer calibrations.

(dependence) between two variables X and Y. It is widely used in the sciences as a measure of the degree of linear dependence between two variables (Figure 4.)

	Uncertainty	0.709 0.609 0.509 0.409 0.309 0.209 0.109 0.109
F C	igur orre Alt cor the lare	e 6. lation houg relate unc
•	rep inv Spe pyr tov of s	orodu estig ecial ano vers
•	Cc co Pe Aft alc	ondu nsis erfori er re goriti
•	be or Th int be	dor gen resh o ag en a

ISO. (1993) Solar energy – Calibration of a pyranometer using a pyrheliometer ISO 9846. Geneve, Switzerland: International Organization for Standardization.

Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment (CDPHE). (2015). Air Quality Report. http://www.colorado.gov/airquality/report.aspx. accessed July 27, 2015.



### Conclusion



Summary of results for uncertainty contribution and n of studied variables on the calibration.

igh several of the variables showed moderate ations with the calibration factor, it is clear that certainty attributed by the zenith angle is the contributor to the uncertainty associated with ucibility and thus warrants further gation

attention must be taken when placing meters on calibration deck as well as on

for data collection to ensure proper leveling

r radiation sensors

### **Future Work**

ict analysis with more sensors to confirm stency of results.

m experiment at different times of the year. results are confirmed develop a correction thm as a function of zenith angle to reduce tainty in solar radiation measurements (can ne for sensor specific correction at calibration neral sensor type correction).

holds for the other variables may be looked gain after corrections for zenith angle have applied

### References